

HOMOTOPY PROBABILITY THEORY II

GABRIEL C. DRUMMOND-COLE, JAE-SUK PARK, AND JOHN TERILLA

ABSTRACT. This is the second of two papers that introduce a deformation theoretic framework to explain and broaden a link between homotopy algebra and probability theory. This paper outlines how the framework can assist in the development of homotopy probability theory, where a vector space of random variables is replaced by a chain complex of random variables. This allows the principles of derived mathematics to participate in classical and noncommutative probability theory. A simple example is presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

A link between homotopy algebra and probability theory arose in the context of an ongoing project to understand the category of quantum field theories [6]. This paper is the second of two papers that interprets and utilizes this link. In the prequel to this paper [3], the authors present a deformation theoretic framework for studying maps between algebras that do not respect structure. The framework for studying maps that do not respect structure applies to probability theory in the following way. Expectation value is a linear map between a vector space V of random variables and the complex numbers that does not respect the product structure on V . The failure of the expectation value to respect the products in the space of random variables and the complex numbers can be processed to give an infinite sequence of operations $\kappa_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This sequence of operations assembles into an A_∞ morphism between two trivial A_∞ algebras. The main proposition in [3] is that the A_∞ morphism obtained via this process coincides with the cumulants of the initial probability space.

In the case that the product of random variables is commutative, there is a similar construction of an infinite sequence of symmetric operations $k_n : S^n V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which assemble into an L_∞ morphism. In the commutative context, the result is that the L_∞ morphism coincides with the classical cumulants of the initial probability space.

The framework for studying maps which fail to preserve structure applies just as well when the space of random variables V is replaced by a chain complex $C = (V, d)$. This generalization is the main idea pursued in this paper.

The idea of replacing a space of random variables by a chain complex of random variables is not unprecedented. For example, the BV formalism [1] in quantum field theory involves a differential, called the BV differential, on the space of random variables [2]. In this formalism, expectation value is defined via the Feynmann path integral which is a chain map from the space of random variables to $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. The presence of Planck's constant

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55U35, 46L53, 60Axx.

Key words and phrases. probability, cumulants, homotopy.

This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMS-1004625.

This work was supported in parts by the Research Center Program of IBS in Korea (CA1205-01) and the Mid-career Researcher Program through NRF funded by the MEST (no. 2010-0000497).

Thanks to the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics for providing an excellent working environment.

\hbar , and the particular manner in which the products of observables and the BV operator interact with \hbar , account for significant additional complexity. One may think of a hierarchy with the proposed homotopy probability theory in the middle.

- *Classical and noncommutative probability theory* are concerned with the expected value of a vector space of random variables equipped with an associative binary product.
- *Homotopy probability theory* is concerned with the expected values of a chain complex of random variables equipped with an associative binary product.
- *Quantum field theory* is concerned with the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -valued expected values of a chain complex of random variables, called observables, equipped with an associative binary product satisfying certain subtle algebraic conditions related to \hbar .

In this paper, the idea of working with chain complexes rather than vector spaces is isolated and studied—only the middle theory above is considered. Also, to keep the abstract ideas presented here connected to simple examples, the product of random variables will be assumed to be commutative throughout. So, L_∞ algebras, rather than A_∞ algebras, are used and the requisite modifications and results contained in [3] are given in Section 2.

The reasons that quantum field theory frequently involves a differential have little to do with quantum fields. Rather, the differential is a tool used to handle symmetries by algebraic methods. There is no reason this tool cannot be applied to ordinary probability theory. In much the same way that one may resolve relations in homological algebra by replacing a module with a free resolution, one might extend a space of random variables with relations among expectation values to a larger chain complex of random variables where the relations are encoded in the differential. Then, simple homotopical computations may provide ways to compute joint moments. This idea is illustrated with a toy example in Section 4.

Independent of any utilitarian advantages to replacing spaces of random variables by chain complexes of random variables, homotopy theoretic ideas provide attractive structural aspects to probability theory. Cumulants, for example, are interpreted as a homotopy morphism and then the tools of homotopy theory can be brought to bear upon them. As another example, this point of view indicates how to construct a category of probability spaces that is different than previous constructions—there are, for example, many more morphisms.

The authors would like to thank Tyler Bryson, Joseph Hirsh, Tom LeGatta, and Bruno Vallette for many helpful discussions.

2. COMMUTATIVE HOMOTOPY PROBABILITY SPACES AND L_∞ ALGEBRAS

Definition 1. A *commutative homotopy probability space* is a triple (C, e, a) , where $C = (V, d)$ is a chain complex over \mathbb{C} , e is a chain map $C \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ (where \mathbb{C} is concentrated in degree 0), and a is a symmetric associative product $S^2V \rightarrow V$. This symmetry is graded, meaning that

$$a(X_1, X_2) = (-1)^{|X_1||X_2|} a(X_2, X_1).$$

Remark 1. Note that a is not required to possess any sort of compatibility with d or e (which it will not in interesting examples).

Remark 2. There are multiple easy generalizations to this definition that this paper will not pursue. It is natural to replace \mathbb{C} with a different ground algebra. It is also reasonable to allow a to be any element of $\text{hom}(SV, V)$. For example, a might be a non-associative binary product, or a collection of non-binary products.

Definition 2. A *morphism* of commutative homotopy probability spaces between (C, e, a) and (C', e', a') is a chain map $f : C \rightarrow C'$ that commutes with expectation in the sense that $e'f = e$.

Remark 3. Note again that there is no compatibility assumed between f and the products a and a' .

Basic facts and definitions about L_∞ algebras are now recalled. For more details, see [4]. Let $S^n V$ be the S_n -invariant subspace of $V^{\otimes n}$ and let $SV = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty S^n V$. As a direct sum, linear maps from SV to a vector space W correspond to collections of linear maps $\{S^n V \rightarrow W\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Also, SV is a coalgebra, free in a certain sense, so that linear maps from a commutative coalgebra $C \rightarrow V$ are in bijection with the following two sets:

- coalgebra maps from C to SV and
- coderivations from C to SV .

Definition 3. An L_∞ algebra is a pair (V, D) where V is a graded vector space and $D : SV \rightarrow SV$ is a degree one coderivation satisfying $D^2 = 0$. An L_∞ morphism between two L_∞ algebras (V, D) and (V', D') is a differential coalgebra map $F : (SV, D) \rightarrow (SV', D')$. In other words, an L_∞ map from (V, D) to (V', D') is a degree zero coalgebra map $F : SV \rightarrow SV'$ satisfying $FD = D'F$.

The identification

$$(1) \quad \text{Coder}(SV, SV) \simeq \prod_{n=1}^\infty \text{hom}(S^n V, V)$$

can be used to give the data of an L_∞ algebra. That is, a coderivation $D : SV \rightarrow SV$ can be given by a sequence $\{d_n\}$ of degree one linear maps $d_n : S^n V \rightarrow V$ on a graded vector space V . The condition that $D^2 = 0$ implies an infinite number of relations satisfied by various compositions among the $\{d_n\}$. Likewise, the identification

$$(2) \quad \text{Coalg}(SV, SV') \simeq \prod_{n=1}^\infty \text{hom}(S^n V, V')$$

can be used to give the data of an L_∞ morphism between (V, D) and (V', D') . That is, a coalgebra map $F : SV \rightarrow SV'$ can be given by a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of degree zero maps $f_n : S^n V \rightarrow V'$. The condition that $FD = D'F$ encodes an infinite number of relations among the $\{f_n\}$, the $\{d_n\}$ and the $\{d'_n\}$. So, the identifications in Equations (1) and (2) provide two equivalent ways of describing L_∞ algebras and morphisms and it is convenient to move between the ways since certain notions or computations are easier to describe in one or the other descriptions of the equivalent data. For example, it is straightforward to compose two differential coalgebra maps $F : (SV, D) \rightarrow (SV', D')$ and $G : (SV', D') \rightarrow (SV'', D'')$ as $GF : (SV, D) \rightarrow (SV'', D'')$ and thus define the composition of L_∞ morphisms, but it is more involved to express the components $(gf)_n : S^n V \rightarrow V''$ in terms of the $f_k : S^k V \rightarrow V'$ and $g_m : S^m V' \rightarrow V''$.

Construction. Let (V, D) be an L_∞ algebra with components d_n . Let $A = (W, d)$ be a commutative differential graded algebra. Then $(V \otimes W)$ can be given a canonical L_∞ algebra structure denoted (V_A, D_A) whose first component is $d \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes d$ and whose subsequent components are d_n extended linearly over A . In particular, if $A = (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with the usual multiplication, then (V_A, D_A) is canonically isomorphic to (V, D) .

Definition 4. Let (V, D) and (V', D') be L_∞ algebras. Let Ω denote the commutative differential graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, dt]$, polynomials in a variable t of degree 0 and its differential dt (so in particular $(dt)^2 = 0$). An L_∞ homotopy H from (V, D) to (V', D') is an L_∞ morphism from (V, D) to (V'_Ω, D'_Ω) . Given an L_∞ homotopy H , the evaluation maps $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ induce two L_∞ morphisms from (V, D) to (V', D') . Call these two L_∞ morphisms f and f' . We say that H is a homotopy *between* f and f' .

Remark 4. Homotopy is an equivalence relation on L_∞ morphisms from (V, D) to (V', D') . Transitivity is not obvious but can be verified. [5]

Note that L_∞ structures can be transported via isomorphisms. In particular, if (V, D) is an L_∞ algebra and $G : SV \rightarrow SW$ is any degree zero coalgebra isomorphism, then for

$$D^G := G^{-1}DG$$

the pair (W, D^G) is again an L_∞ algebra.

Morphisms (and homotopies) can be transported as well. If F is an L_∞ morphism between (V, D) and (V', D') and $G : SV \rightarrow SW$ and $G' : SV' \rightarrow SW'$ are coalgebra isomorphisms, then

$$F^{G, G'} := (G')^{-1}FG$$

is an L_∞ morphism between the L_∞ algebras (W, D^G) and $(W', (D')^{G'})$. For homotopies, G' must be modified to be a coalgebra isomorphism from $S(V' \otimes \Omega)$ to $S(W' \otimes \Omega)$ but by abuse of notation the transferred homotopy will still be referred to as $H^{G, G'}$.

Definition 5. Two L_∞ algebras (V, D) and (V', D') are *equivalent* if there exists a coalgebra isomorphism $G : SV \rightarrow SV'$ so that $D' = D^G$.

Remark 5. Homotopy behaves well with respect to composition. That is, if f and f' are homotopic L_∞ maps $P \rightarrow Q$ and g and g' are homotopic L_∞ maps $Q \rightarrow R$, then $g \circ f$ and $g' \circ f'$ are homotopic L_∞ maps $P \rightarrow R$. In particular, this is true in the special cases where $f = f'$ or when $g = g'$.

Lemma 1. Let $(V, 0)$ be a trivial L_∞ algebra. If f and f' are homotopic L_∞ morphisms $(V, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, then $f = f'$.

Proof. Let H be a homotopy between f and f' . Then H is an L_∞ morphism from $(V, 0)$ to Ω . That is, H is a coalgebra map $SV \rightarrow S\Omega$ satisfying $dH = 0$. In particular, each of the constituent maps $S^n V \rightarrow \Omega$ must have closed image in Ω , so they must actually land in $\mathbb{C} \oplus dt\mathbb{C}[t, dt]$. This means that the evaluation of H at 0 and at 1 yield the same map to \mathbb{C} , so f and f' coincide. \square

3. EXPECTATION AND CUMULANTS

Notation. Let (C, e, a) be a commutative homotopy probability space, with $C = (V, d)$. The notation \mathbf{a} denotes the isomorphism of coalgebras $SV \rightarrow SV$ whose n th component $S^n V \rightarrow V$ is repeated multiplication using a . The notation \mathbf{a}' denotes the isomorphism of coalgebras $S\mathbb{C} \rightarrow S\mathbb{C}$ whose n th component $S^n \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is repeated complex multiplication.

Remark 6. In the case of not necessarily commutative homotopy probability theory, where a is not necessarily commutative and L_∞ is replaced throughout with A_∞ , the product a can be extended as a coderivation on $TV = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty V^{\otimes n}$. The coalgebra isomorphism \mathbf{a} , whose components $V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ are repeated multiplication using a , is the exponential of the extended coderivation [3]. In the commutative world the map $\mathbf{a} : SV \rightarrow SV$ is defined to

be the coalgebra isomorphism whose components $S^n V \rightarrow V$ are repeated multiplications using a , but the coalgebra isomorphism a is not the exponential of the coderivation lift of a .

Definition 6. Let (C, e, a) be a commutative homotopy probability space with $C = (V, d)$. The *total moment* of (C, e, a) is the L_∞ morphism

$$M := e^{\mathbf{a}, \text{id}}$$

from $(V, D^{\mathbf{a}})$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The *n th moment map* m_n is the n th component of the total moment $e^{\mathbf{a}, \text{id}}$ which is a map $m_n : S^n V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 7. Let (C, e, a) be a commutative homotopy probability space with $C = (V, d)$. The *total cumulant* of (C, e, a) is the L_∞ morphism

$$K := e^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}'}$$

from $(V, D^{\mathbf{a}})$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The *n th cumulant* k_n is the n th component of the total cumulant $e^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}'}$ which is a map $k_n : S^n V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Moments and cumulants of a commutative homotopy probability space are homotopy invariant.

Proposition 2. Let C be a chain complex equipped with a product a . Let e and e' be homotopic chain maps $C \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Then the total moment M of the commutative homotopy probability space (C, e, a) is homotopic to the total moment M' of the commutative homotopy probability space (C, e', a) . Also, the total cumulant K of the commutative homotopy probability space (C, e, a) is homotopic to the total cumulant K' of the commutative homotopy probability space (C, e', a) .

Proof. The transferred homotopy $H^{\mathbf{a}, \text{id}}$ is a homotopy between the total moments. The transferred homotopy $H^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}'}$ is a homotopy between the total cumulants. \square

In applications, one would like to evaluate moments and cumulants on random variables and obtain numbers. Direct application of moments and cumulants yield numbers that are not homotopy invariant. Homotopy random variables are now introduced—they have joint moments and cumulants that are homotopy invariant.

Definition 8. A *collection of homotopy random variables* (X_1, \dots, X_n) in a commutative homotopy probability space (C, e, a) is the homotopy class of an L_∞ map $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^{\mathbf{a}})$. The various factors of \mathbb{C}^n may be in different degrees. When $n = 1$, we call such a collection a *homotopy random variable*.

Example 1. The simplest kind of homotopy random variable is an L_∞ morphism $X : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^{\mathbf{a}})$ whose only nonzero component is a map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$. Such a map is determined by $1 \mapsto x$ for an element $x \in V$. Not any choice of element $x \in V$ will define a homotopy random variable. The condition that X be an L_∞ morphism is that $D^{\mathbf{a}}X = 0$, which encodes an infinite collection of conditions on x . Namely, $dx = 0$, $d_2^{\mathbf{a}}(x, x) = 0$, etc... More generally, a single homotopy random variable X will have components $S^k \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$, each of which is given by a map $S^k 1 \mapsto x_k$ for some $x_k \in V$. So, an arbitrary single random variable can be thought of as a sequence of elements $\{x_k \in V\}$. Note that every closed element $x \in V$ gives rise to a homotopy random variable by transport. That is, if $x \in V$ satisfies $dx = 0$, then $1 \mapsto x$ defines a chain map $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, d)$. Such a chain map is an L_∞ morphism $F : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D)$. The transport of F to an L_∞ map $F^{\text{id}, \mathbf{a}} : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^{\mathbf{a}})$ is a homotopy random

variable. Note that not all homotopy random variables arise as the transport of chain maps; an L_∞ morphism $X : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$ can be transported back to give L_∞ morphisms $X^{\text{id}, (a)^{-1}} : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D)$, but this map may not only be a chain map, there may be nonzero components $S^k \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ for $k > 1$.

Example 2. The simplest kind of collection of homotopy random variables is an L_∞ morphism $(X_1, \dots, X_n) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$ whose only nonzero component is a map $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V$. Such a morphism is determined by the images of the i th standard basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^n

$$b_i \mapsto x_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. So, such a collection of homotopy random variables is determined by an ordered collection of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$. As in the case of a single homotopy random variable, not every collection of elements $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ will define a homotopy random variable and an arbitrary collection of homotopy random variables will generally have nonzero components $S^k \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V$ for $k > 1$. As in the case of a single homotopy random variable, finite collections of closed elements in V define chain maps $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, d)$ and can be transported to give collections of homotopy random variables. Not all collections of homotopy random variables arise this way.

Remark 7. Given a collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) , one can obtain individual homotopy random variables X_i by precomposing the inclusion of \mathbb{C} into \mathbb{C}^n in the i th factor. It is not true in general that given a set $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of random variables one can meaningfully generate a collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

Definition 9. Let (C, e, a) be a commutative homotopy probability space. The *joint moment* $M(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of the collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) is defined to be the L_∞ morphism

$$M(X_1, \dots, X_n) = M \circ f$$

where $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$ is any representative of the collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) and M is the total moment of (C, e, a) . The r th joint moment of a collection of random variables is the r th component of the joint moment $M(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and so is a map $m_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) : S^r \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 10. Let (C, e, a) be a commutative homotopy probability space. The *joint cumulant* $C(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of the collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) is defined to be the L_∞ morphism

$$K(X_1, \dots, X_n) = K \circ f$$

where $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$ is any representative of the collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) and K is the total cumulant of (C, e, a) . The r th joint cumulant of a collection of random variables is the r th component of the joint cumulant $C(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and so is a map $k_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) : S^r \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3. *Joint moments and joint cumulants are well-defined.*

Proof. Let f and f' be two representatives of the homotopy class (X_1, \dots, X_n) . Then $M \circ f$ and $M \circ f'$ are homotopic L_∞ maps from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$. By Lemma 1, these two maps coincide. The compositions $K \circ f$ and $K \circ f'$ coincide for the same reason. \square

Remark 8. The L_∞ structure D^a does not depend on e . A collection of homotopy random variables could be defined for a pair (C, a) without an expectation. In particular, a collection of homotopy random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) for a commutative homotopy probability

space (C, e, a) is also a collection of homotopy random variables for a commutative homotopy probability space (C, e', a) .

Proposition 4. *Let C be a chain complex equipped with a product a . Let e and e' be homotopic chain maps $C \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a collection of homotopy random variables of the commutative homotopy probability spaces (C, e, a) and (C, e', a) . Then the joint moments and joint cumulants coincide. That is,*

$$m_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = m'_r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

and

$$k_r(S_1, \dots, X_n) = k'_r(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Proof. Cumulants evaluated on a collection of homotopy random variables constitute L_∞ morphisms from $(C^n, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$. The proposition shows that in the case in question, two such L_∞ maps are homotopic. By Lemma 1, they in fact coincide. \square

To summarize, a collection of random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a homotopy class of L_∞ maps $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$. The joint moments and joint cumulants of a collection of homotopy random variables $e(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are defined to be the compositions of L_∞ morphisms

$$(3) \quad (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \xrightarrow{(X_1, \dots, X_n)} (V, D^a) \xrightarrow{M} (\mathbb{C}, 0)$$

$$(4) \quad (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \xrightarrow{(X_1, \dots, X_n)} (V, D^a) \xrightarrow{K} (\mathbb{C}, 0)$$

These compositions are well defined. They are independent of the representative of (X_1, \dots, X_n) and only depend on the homotopy class of the expectation value chain map $e : (V, d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. As an L_∞ map $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, the joint moments and joint cumulants of a collection of homotopy random variables $e(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ have component maps

$$m_r : S^r(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and } k_r : S^r(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which are comparable to the joint moments and cumulants of a collection of random variables in classical probability theory. In the special case that a linear map $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V$ defined by $b_i \mapsto x_i$ does in fact define an L_∞ morphism, as in Example 2, then the joint moment map is given by

$$S^r \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}) \mapsto e(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r})$$

and the joint cumulant map is given by

$$S^r \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}) \mapsto k_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r})$$

where $k_r : S^k V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the r th cumulant of (C, e, a) .

4. THE GAUSSIAN

The Gaussian in one variable provides a toy example of how one might extend an algebra of random variables to a chain complex of random variables. The classical Gaussian in one variable is a classical probability space (V, e, a) defined as follows: The space of

random variables V is the space of polynomials in one variable, the expectation $e(p(x))$ of a random variable is given by

$$e(p(x)) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx},$$

and the product a is the ordinary product of polynomials.

Now, we construct a related homotopy probability space (C, e, a) . The idea behind the chain complex (V, d) is to add new odd random variables whose image under the differential comprises the kernel of the expectation value e . Let $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$ be the free commutative algebra on two generators x of degree 0 and η of degree -1 . The product $a : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is the free commutative product. A typical element of V has the form $p + q\eta$ for two polynomials p and q in the variable x . The p term has degree zero and the $q\eta$ term has degree -1 . The product is determined by the fact that η as an element of degree -1 squares to zero. So

$$(p + q\eta)(r + s\eta) = pr + (ps + qr)\eta.$$

Define a differential $d : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$d(p + q\eta) = \frac{dq}{dx} - xq.$$

Define $e : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$e(p + q\eta) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(d(p + q\eta)) &= e\left(\frac{dq}{dx} - xq\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{dq}{dx} - xq\right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{dx} \left(q e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

the expectation defines a chain map $e : (V, d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. So if p and q represent the same homology class, $e(p) = e(q)$.

A homotopy random variable is a homotopy class of L_{∞} morphism from $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$ where D^a is the L_{∞} structure on (V, d) transported by the coalgebra automorphism $a : SV \rightarrow SV$ determined by the product $a : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ of polynomials. In this case, the L_{∞} algebra (V, D^a) has two nonzero components. The first component is the differential: $d_1^a = d$. The second component $d_2^a : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is given by

$$d_2^a(p + q\eta, r + s\eta) = \frac{dp}{dx}s - \frac{dr}{dx}q + \left(\left(\frac{dq}{dx} - xq\right)s - \left(\frac{ds}{dx} - xs\right)q\right)\eta.$$

The L_{∞} algebra (V, D^a) in this case is a differential graded Lie algebra, the bracket defined by d_2^a may be familiar as the Poisson bracket defined on the polynomial functions defined on an odd symplectic vector space. Since both $d(p) = 0$ and $d_2^a(p, p) = 0$ for a polynomial $p = p(x)$ with no η terms, the map $1 \mapsto p$ is an L_{∞} map $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$. If p and q represent the same homology class in (V, d) , the maps $1 \mapsto p$ and $1 \mapsto q$ are homotopic chain maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, d)$ and homotopic L_{∞} maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D)$, but these maps are

usually non-homotopic L_∞ maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (V, D^a)$. So, even if p and q define the same homology class of (V, d) , the maps $1 \mapsto p$ and $1 \mapsto q$ usually define different homotopy random variables. This difference reflects the fact that while the expectation values of p and q may be the same, the higher joint moments and cumulants usually will be different.

The joint moment of the homotopy random variable X given by $1 \mapsto x$ can be computed using computations in homology. The homology of (V, d) is one dimensional spanned by the class of $1 \in V$ and

$$e(1) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx} = 1.$$

Note, $0 = e(d(-1)) = e(x)$ and for $n > 1$, $d(x^{n-1}) = (n-1)x^{n-2} - x^n$ hence

$$e(x^n) = (n-1)e(x^{n-2}).$$

Understanding how each polynomial is homologous to 0 or 1 makes it straightforward to compute the joint moments. For n odd, $m_n(X) = e(x^n) = 0$ and for $n = 2k$ even,

$$m_n(X) = e(x^{2k}) = (2k-1)e(x^{2k-2}) = (2k-1)(2k-3) \cdots (3)(1)e(1) = (2k-1)!!$$

To summarize this example, relations between the expected values of random variables in the classical Gaussian are encoded in the differential d . Then, simple homotopical computations determine the joint moment $M(X)$ of the homotopy random variable $X : 1 \mapsto x$. The components of $M(X)$ are maps $S^n \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, i.e. numbers, which coincide with $e(x^n)$ and by linearity determines the expectation values of all the classical random variables.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Batalin and G. Vilkovisky. Gauge algebra and quantization. *Phys. Lett. B*, 102:27–31, 1981.
- [2] K. Costello. *Renormalization and effective field theory*. AMS, 2011.
- [3] G. C. Drummond-Cole, J.-S. Park, and J. Terilla. Homotopy probability theory I. *preprint*, 2013.
- [4] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette. *Algebraic Operads*. Springer, 2012.
- [5] M. Markl. *Deformation theory of algebras and their diagrams*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2012.
- [6] J.-S. Park. Category of commutative QFT algebras and quantum field theory. *preprint*, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD EVANSTON, IL 60208-2730, USA

E-mail address: gabriel@math.northwestern.edu

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE IN KORA & DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POSTECH, POHANG, GYEONGBUK 790-784, KOREA

E-mail address: jaesuk@postech.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ROOM 211 KISSENA HALL, QUEENS COLLEGE, THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK 65-30 KISSENA BLVD QUEENS, NY 11367-1597 USA

E-mail address: jterilla@qc.cuny.edu